

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,127	02/19/2004	Kwang-wook Oh	KCL0097US	2678	
23413 CANTOR COI	7590 10/06/201 BURNIIP	EXAMINER			
20 Church Stre		BEISNER, WILLIAM H			
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 0	2nd Floor Iartford, CT 06103			PAPER NUMBER	
mation, C1 o	ation, C1 00105		1775		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/783,127	OH ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	WILLIAM H. BEISNER	1775					

	WILLIAM H. BEISNER	1775						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CEP 1 136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	to extension fee					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	· ·	, ,						
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 17-19</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-5,7-14 and 16.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	s bafasa ar an sha data af filina a N	-tif Ammaal!!! m-						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
	/WILLIAM H BEISNER/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1775						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims positively reciting the sol-gel material as part of the claimed device raises new issues that would require further consideration. As a result, they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Note applicants' comments are drawn to a kit-type claim while the instant proposed claims rectle a device. As a result, Applicants' comments are not commensurate in scope with the language of the proposed claims. It is suggested that the preamble of the claims recite a kit which includes the currently claimed device and the sol-gel material to be used as the valves in the device.